IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

		: Case No	
Plaintiff(s)		: District Judge Susan J. Dlott	
V.		: : JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN :	
	Defendant(s)	: :	
Now	come all parties to this case, b	y and through their respective counsel, and hereby jointly	
submit to the	e Court this Joint Discovery I	Plan, pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Procedure Outline	
The parties of	conducted their discovery con	aference on	
1.	MAGISTRATE CONSE	<u>NT</u>	
The l	Parties:		
	unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c).		
	do not unanimously consen pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 63	at to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge 6 (c).	
	Magistrate Judge pursuant	gent consent to the jurisdiction of the United States to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c), for trial purposes only, in the even gned is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g. because or criminal).	

2.	RULE 26(A) DISCLOSURES		
	There are no changes that need to be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a). All disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) have been made by the parties hereto or will be made by the time of the scheduled Preliminary Pretrial Conference.		
	The parties agree to delay the initial disclosure until The purpose of delay is		
	$\hfill\Box$ to give the Court time to rule on the pending dispositive motion. $\hfill\Box$		
3.	DISCOVERY ISSUES AND DATES		
A.	Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature, extent, and scope of discovery that each party needs to: (i) make a settlement evaluation; (ii) prepare for case dispositive motions; and (iii) prepare for trial: Plaintiffs seek discovery of Defendants' communications including phone, text and email. Plaintiffs also seek documents maintained by the City/Police Department that reflect Lt. Pettis' employment, promotion, hiring and discipline. ———————————————————————————————————		
	Defendants seek discovery of Plaintiffs' medical and mental health records, phone records, text messaging, documents related to any claims,		
	and any other discoverable material going to alleged liability and damages.		
	The parties have agreed upon a process for obtaining medical, mental health, phone, and text messaging records. The requesting party shall submit the request to counsel representing the party information is requested from. That Counsel shall obtain the records timely, review the records, and turn over all records that are not privileged. If counsel deems any record to be privileged,		
	counsel shall prepare a privilege log for all material that is redacted and/or held back in its entirety and produce with the non-privileged material. If there is a dispute regarding privilege, the privilege log and material alleged to be privileged shall be submitted to the Court for in camera review and a		

**Any materials that have been subpoenaed prior to this report and the protective order submitted with this report shall be immediately turned over to counsel for review and production in accordance with the process agreed to herein. Counsel in possession shall not review the records prior to production.

decision regarding production.

d ii	Discovery of Electronically Stored Information. The parties have discussed disclosure, discovery, and preservation of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced.			
	Yes No			
	i) The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats:			
	Phone records, text messaging records, records stored on computers			
	records that may be stored on servers, and email records			
	·			
	(ii) The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure, discovery, or preservation of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced: The parties shall immediately extract all text messaging, notes,			
	emails, and any other discoverable material from the cell phone for purposes of preserving those records.			
	-			

Claims of Privilege or Protection. The parties have discussed issues regarding the protection of information by a privilege or the work-product doctrine, including whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claims after production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evid. 502.
Yes
No
(i) The case presents the following issues relating to claims of a privilege or of protection as trial preparation materials:
All issues regarding privilege have been addressed in 3(A) above and
the parties protective order filed with this report.
(ii) Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims AFTER production?
Yes
No
Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include the following agreement in the scheduling order:
The parties ask that the Court enter as an Order the parties
stipulated protective order.

D.	The 1	The parties recommend that discovery					
		need not be bifurcated					
		should be bifurcated between liability and damages should be bifurcated between factual and expert					
		should be limited in some fashion or focused upon particular issues which relate to					
E.	Disclosure and report of plaintiff expert(s) by Septe		September 30, 2019				
F.	Disclosure and report of defendant expert(s) by		November 15, 2019				
G.	Disco	overy cutoff	Fact: July 31, 2019 Expert: December 31, 2019				
H.	Antio	cipated discovery problems					
		Limitations on depositions					
		None					
4.	LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY						
A.	Chan	Changes in the limitations on discovery					
		Extension of time limitations (currently on depositions to	e day of seven hours) in taking of				
		Extension of number of depositions (current	ntly 10) permitted to				
		Extension of number of interrogatories (cur	rently 25) to				
		Other: The parties disagree regarding the number of depositions above 10. Plaintiff agrees to 15. Due to the number of parties, claims					
			ed witnesses, and issues, Defendants				

В.	Protective Order		
	A protective order will likely be submitted to the Court on or before submitted with this report		
	parties subsequently deem proposed order to the Cou <i>Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross</i> 2016).	t anticipate the need for a protective order. If the that one is necessary, they will submit a joint rt. Such order will be in compliance with <i>Shane Blue Shield of Michigan</i> , 825 F.3d 299 (6th Cir.	
5. OTHER COURT ORDERS UNDER RULE 26(C) OR RULE 16(B) AND (C)			
	The plaintiff anticipates the need for the Court to set a deadline to allow for the amendment of the complaint to		
	\square add parties.		
☐ add additional claims.			
	add factual allegations to support original claim(s). The parties agree that any amended complaint shall be filed no late than January 31, 2019. Defendants shall have until January 31,2019 days after any amended complaint, whichever comes later, to move to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings.		
Signatures:	Zachary Gottesman	Janaya Trotter Bratton	
	Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	Attorney for Defendant(s)	
		Shuva Paul	
	Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	Attorney for Defendant(s)	
	Attorney for		